

# X-ray ghost imaging: Line scans, radiography and tomography

Monday, 2 December 2019 11:00 (20)

Ghost imaging is a new field of optics. Emerging from the field of quantum optics and initially believed to be underpinned by quantum-mechanical “spooky action at a distance”, the field has rapidly achieved prominence in studies using classical visible light [1].

In ghost imaging, photons from a source pass through a speckle-making mask, leading to a spatially random pattern “A” being measured over the surface of a position-sensitive detector. A beam-splitter then removes a very small fraction of the photons, which pass through an object and are then recorded by a single-pixel “bucket” detector that merely records the total number “B” of photons falling upon it. This process is repeated for a number of different mask positions. While no photon that ever passes through the object is ever registered by a position-sensitive detector, and no photons measured by the position sensitive detector ever pass through the object, the *correlation* between A and B can be used to reconstruct the object [1].

Ghost imaging using x-rays was only very recently achieved, with the first proofs of concept for one-dimensional x-ray ghost imaging being published by Yu et al. [2] and Pelliccia et al. [3] in 2016. This was soon extended to x-ray ghost imaging of two-dimensional objects, by Zhang et al. [4] and Pelliccia et al. [5]. Finally, based on the theory and computer modelling of Kingston et al. [6], the first experimental realisation of ghost tomography (using potentially any form of radiation, not just x-rays) was reported by Kingston et al. [7] with x rays. The experimental setup uses the process as described above, but with the additional feature that the sample was rotated to a number of different angular orientations.

We discuss the origins of ghost imaging, explain the key principles underpinning the method, review the current state of art in x-ray ghost imaging in 1D (line scans), 2D (radiography) and 3D (tomography), consider some key drivers such as the quest for ever-reduced dose, and speculate regarding future developments. We attempt to reduce the counter-intuitive nature of the method to a retrospectively obvious simplicity, and address the obvious question of: “Why would one want to perform tomographic imaging in this peculiar manner?”

[1] O. Katz, Y. Bromberg & Y. Silberberg. Applied Physics Letters, 95, 131110, 2009.

[2] H. Yu, R. Lu, S. Han, H. Xie, G. Du, T. Xiao & D. Zhu. Physical Review Letters, 117, 113901, 2016.

[3] D. Pelliccia, A. Rack, M. Scheel, V. Cantelli & D.M. Paganin. Physical Review Letters, 117, 113902, 2016.

[4] A.-X. Zhang, Y.-H. He, L.-A. Wu, L.-M. Chen & B.-B. Wang. Optica, 5, 374-377, 2018.

[5] D. Pelliccia, M.P. Olbinado, A. Rack, A.M. Kingston, G.R. Myers & D.M. Paganin. IUCr, 5, 428-438, 2018.

[6] A.M. Kingston, G.R. Myers, D. Pelliccia, I.D. Svalbe & D.M. Paganin. IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging, 5, 136-149, 2019.

[7] A.M. Kingston, D. Pelliccia, A. Rack, M.P. Olbinado, Y. Cheng, G.R. Myers & D.M. Paganin. Optica, 5, 1516-1520, 2018.

## Speakers Gender

Male

## Travel Funding

## Level of Expertise

Expert

## Do you wish to take part in the poster slam

No

**Primary author(s) :** Prof. PAGANIN, David (Monash University); Dr KINGSTON, Andrew (ANU); Dr MYERS, Glenn (ANU); Dr PELLICCIA, Daniele (Instruments & Data Tools); Dr SVALBE, Imants (Monash University); Dr CHENG, Yin (ESRF); Dr OLBINADO, Margie (ESRF); Dr RACK, Alexander (ESRF)

**Presenter(s) :** Prof. PAGANIN, David (Monash University)

**Session Classification :** Session 2

**Track Classification :** Technique Development